

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/753,892	01/03/2001	Leonid A. Yakubov	PANA-0002	1372
75	90 08/07/2002			
Mark DeLuca Esq Woodcock Washburn Kurtz Mackiewicz & Norris LLP One Liberty Place 46th Floor			EXAMINER	
			PRIEBE, SCOTT DAVID	
Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	Ø
,			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/753,892	YAKUBOV, LEONID A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Priebe	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply	(10.0ET TO EVOIDE + 14					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·— · · · · — —	_ · s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers	7					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-22, drawn to a method of treating a disorder or disease associated with mutations or alleles, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- II. Claim 23, drawn to a method of reducing transplant rejection, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- III. Claim 24, drawn to a method for increasing fertility in a woman, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- IV. Claims 25-26, drawn to a PCR apparatus, classified in class 435, subclass 286.1.
- V. Claims 27-30, drawn to a preparation of genomic DNA, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 86.05 for inventive groups that are directed to <u>different</u> methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Each of the methods involves preparing genomic DNA from a donor and administering it to a recipient to produce desired genotypic changes. However, the source of the

Art Unit: 1632

donor DNA and the recipient differ in each invention. Also, the goal and effect of each method differ substantially. Consequently, the search for each of the methods would be divergent.

Inventions I-III and invention IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed does not require that the DNA be made by PCR, e.g. it can be made by isolating DNA from a donor individual and then shearing the DNA, and if made by PCR conventional PCR apparatus could be used. Furthermore, the apparatus could be used to amplify DNA for any other art recognized purpose, e.g. PCR cloning, PCR sequencing, etc.

Inventions IV and V are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product claimed does not have to be produced by PCR, isolating DNA from any organism and then shearing the DNA, and if made by PCR conventional PCR apparatus could be used.

Invention V and inventions I-III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

Art Unit: 1632

product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotides of invention V are not required to be derived from a genome of any particular organism, i.e. the DNA could be bacterial DNA and the DNA used in each of inventions I-III is not the same, nor is it required to have the same desired alleles or genotype. Separate embodiments of the generic DNA of invention V would be used in each of inventions I-III. Additionally, it could be used in the treatment of precancerous conditions of skin or in tanning, where the DNA can be from any source, i.e. it would not undergo homologous recombination with the genome of the recipient in the methods of inventions I-III

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for each Group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1632 by facsimile transmission. The FAX numbers are (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014 for any type of communication. In addition, FAX numbers for a computer server system using RightFAX are also available for communications before final rejection, (703) 872-9306, and for communications after final rejection, (703) 872-9307, which will generate a return receipt. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If

Art Unit: 1632

applicant *does* submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott D. Priebe whose telephone number is (703) 308-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 AM to 4 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached on (703) 305-4051.

Any inquiry concerning administrative, procedural or formal matters relating to this application should be directed to Patent Analyst Patsy Zimmerman whose telephone number is (703) 308-8338. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Scott D. Priebe, Ph.D.

Sett D. (riche

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Art Unit 1632